Case 2:21-cv-03437-(VISV-1L-DOONVER): SHFF)Ed 08/02/21 Page 1 of 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

L (-) DI A INTERES	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRU	CHONS ON NEXT PAGE C	F THIS FO								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
Sandra Brooks				Cenlar FSB, a subsidiary of Cellar Capital Corporation							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)								
	9		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)							
Law Offices of Robert T Vance Jr, 100 South Broad				Unknown							
Street, Suite 90	05, Philadelphia Pa	19110 (215)									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)							
1 U.S. Government				(For Diversity Cases Onl	PTF	DEF	Č	ana One Box Jor	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State				4	<u> </u>		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	_ 2	_ 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
IV NATUDE OF CUIT	Γ			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	<u></u> 6	
CONTRACT	V. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS			Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES							
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure			KRUPTCY eal 28 USC 158	375 False O	STATUT		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 88	1	423 With		376 Qui Ta			
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	L 690	0 Other		28 U	JSC 157	3729(a	**		
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	1			PROPER	TY RIGHTS	400 State R 410 Antitru		iment	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	- 1		П	820 Cop		430 Banks	and Bankii	ng	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			H	830 Pater 835 Pater	nt - Abbreviated	450 Comm 460 Deport			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			Б	New 840 Trad	Drug Application	470 Racket	eer Influen		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	LABOR			end Trade Secrets	480 Consur	t Organizat ner Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710	Fair Labor Standards	\top	Act	of 2016	(15 US	SC 1681 or	1692)	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	7720	Act Labor/Management	100	SOCIAL	LSECURITY	485 Teleph	one Consu tion Act	mer	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations		861 HIA	(1395ff)	490 Cable/S			
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	Н		k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit Exchai		odities/	
DELL DROBBONI	Medical Malpractice	1000 11 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		Leave Act	Н		Title XVI	890 Other S	-	ctions	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	\vdash	865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricul			
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	893 Enviror			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	¥ 442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence					s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act			
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General	100		П		efendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admini		ocedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			JSC 7609	Act/Rev	view or Ap		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		Naturalization Application Other Immigration	ion			Agency 950 Constit	Decision utionality	of	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State St		50	
	446 Education	560 Civil Detainee -									
		Conditions of Confinement	-								
7. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Confinement									
		Remanded from	4 Reinst	tated or 5 Trans	ferred	from [6 Multidistric	ct - 18	Multidist	rict	
Proceeding State	e Court	Appellate Court	Reope	ened Anoth	her Dis	10000	Litigation -		Litigation		
	Cite the II S Civil Ste	tuta undar which you are	filing (D	(speci			Transfer		Direct Fi	le	
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 USC Section 1981						ersity):					
I. CAUSE OF ACTIO											
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	DE	EMAND S			HECK YES only in RY DEMAND:	f demanded in Yes	complair	ıt:			
III. RELATED CASE	(See instructions):	200000000000000000000000000000000000000									
IF ANY	As a conserved a state of the s	JUDGE NONE				DOCKE	T NUMBER				
ATE 8/2/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATTE	RNEY OF	FRECORD							
OR OFFICE/USE ONLY		/									
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE											

Case 2:21-cv-03437-**MYSTCED STACKS DISTRICF ICOU 08**/02/21 Page 2 of 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Trenton, New Jersey								
Address of Defendant: Trenton, New C	Trenton, New Jersey							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:								
The of Accident, metacit of Transaction.								
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:								
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:							
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered to any of the following questions:								
 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 	ear Yes No							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?								
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?								
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No								
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. August 2, 2021 DATE: August 2 Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Planniff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)								
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)								
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurion 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance	Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts							
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, 4. Antitrust 4. Marine	e Personal Injury , Defamation Personal Injury /ehicle Personal Injury							
☐ 6. Labor-Management Relations ☐ 6. Other P☐ 7. Civil Rights ☐ 7. Product	ersonal Injury (Please specify):s Liability							
☐ 8. Habeas Corpus ☐ 8. Product ☐ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases ☐ 9. All other	s Liability – Asbestos							
10. Social Security Review Cases (Please s (Please s (Please s (Please s (Please s s (Pl	. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify): All other Federal Question Cases							
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) Robert T Vance Jr I,, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:								
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:								
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.								
DATE: August 2, 2021 Sign her Chapplicable 37692								
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.								

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Sandra Brooks :

vs : Civil Action No.

Jury Trial Demanded

Cenlar FSB, a subsidiary of Cenlar

Capital Corporation

Complaint

Plaintiff, Sandra Brooks, brings a series of claims against Defendant, Cenlar FSB, a subsidiary of Cenlar Capital Corporation, of which the following is a statement:

:

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction to hear this Complaint and adjudicate the claims stated herein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331and 1343, this action being brought under the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("Section 1981"), and the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Pub. L. 102-166, 105 Stat. 1071 (Nov. 21, 1991). The Court has jurisdiction over Ms. Brooks's state law claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this case occurred in this judicial district.

The Parties

- 3. Plaintiff, Sandra Brooks, is an African-American female citizen of the United States and a resident of this judicial district.
- 4. Defendant Cenlar FSB is a subsidiary of Cenlar Capital Corporation. Cenlar Capital Corporation is a savings and loan holding company that offers banking services. Cenlar FSB provides residential mortgage loan services, as well as central loan administration and

reporting services. Cenlar Capital Corporation was incorporated and has its headquarters in New Jersey. Cenlar FSB maintains offices in Yardley, Pennsylvania and Trenton, New Jersey.

- 5. At all times relevant to this action, defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of Section 1981 and applicable state law.
- 6. The acts set forth in this Complaint were authorized, ordered, condoned, ratified and/or done by defendant's officers, agents, employees and/or representatives while actively engaged in the management of defendant's business.

Background Facts

- 7. Defendant hired Ms. Brooks in or about February 2017 as a Quality Assurance Analyst. In October 2019, Ms. Brooks accepted her current position, Employee Relations Coordinator, but without a salary increase. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Ms. Brooks was an excellent employee.
- 8. After that promotion, Ms. Brooks sought numerous other promotions for which she was qualified. However, defendant failed to promote her to every position she applied for because it systemically discriminates against Blacks and prefers White employees in key positions and pursuant to its pattern and practice of refusing to select Blacks for promotions.

 The positions Ms. Brooks applied for, and as to which defendant refused to select her because of her race, are the following:
 - a. Client Manager
 - b. Operations Business Analyst
 - c. Change Control Coordinator
 - d. Policy, Program and Procedure Writer
 - e. Process Engineer
 - f. Project Manager

In each case, defendant selected a White candidate for the position.

- 9. On or about February 19, 2021, Ms. Brooks applied for the position of Client Manager. Ms. Brooks was qualified for the position. Matthew Detweiller, a White male and one of defendant's management employees, was the Hiring Manager for the position.
- 10. Despite the fact that she was qualified for the position, Mr. Detweiller refused to interview Ms. Brooks for the position, without providing to the internal recruiter assigned to the position any specific reason for his decision. Mr. Detweiller selected a White female for the position.
- 11. Mr. Detweiller refused to interview Ms. Brooks for the Client Manager position and refused to select her for that position because of her race and pursuant to defendant's history, pattern and practice of failing to select Blacks for key positions.
- 12. On or about February 19, 2021, Ms. Brooks applied for the position of Operations Business Analyst. Ms. Brooks was qualified for the position. William Moffett, a White male and one of defendant's management employees, was the Hiring Manager for the position.
- 13. Despite the fact that she was qualified for the position, Mr. Moffett refused to interview Ms. Brooks for the position, without providing to the internal recruiter assigned to the position any specific reason for his decision. On information and belief, Mr. Moffett selected a non-Black candidate for the position.
- 14. Mr. Moffett refused to interview Ms. Brooks for the Operations Business Analyst position and refused to select her for that position because of her race and pursuant to defendant's history, pattern and practice of failing to select Blacks for key positions.
- 15. In February 2021, Ms. Brooks applied for three (3) positions: Change Control Coordinator, Process Engineer, and Policy, Program and Procedure Writer. Ms. Brooks was

qualified for each of these positions. Alyson Keisel, a White female and one of defendant's management employees, was the Hiring Manager for the positions.

- 16. Ms. Keisel interviewed Ms. Brooks simultaneously for the positions and instructed Ms. Brooks to select the position she was most interested in obtaining. Ms. Brooks chose the Change Control Coordinator position and informed the internal recruiter of her choice. The internal recruiter then told Ms. Brooks that Ms. Keisel believed she was best-suited for the Policy, Program and Procedure Writer position, so Ms. Brooks told the internal recruiter that she would accept Ms. Keisel's suggestion and seek that position.
- 17. Ms. Brooks was interviewed by the Supervisor of the Policy, Program and Procedure Writer position and believed the interview went well.
- 18. Defendant never contacted Ms. Brooks about any of the three (3) positions after her interview. However, Ms. Brooks later learned that defendant selected White females for the Policy, Program and Procedure Writer position and the Change Control Coordinator position, but did not select anyone for the Process Engineer position.
- 19. Defendant refused to select Ms. Brooks for any of the three (3) positions because of her race and pursuant to its history, pattern and practice of failing to select Blacks for key positions.
- 20. On April 1, 2021, at the suggestion of a Human Resources Advisor/Recruiter, Ms. Brooks applied for the position of Project Manager. Ms. Brooks submitted her resume to the HR Advisor/Recruiter who suggested she apply for the position. In response to inquiries about her resume from the Designated Recruiter, Ms. Brooks revised her resume and returned it to the Designated Recruiter, who told Ms. Brooks that she would give it to the Hiring Manager for the

position. Subsequently, Ms. Brooks was never contacted by the Designated Recruiter about the position and was not interviewed for the position.

- 21. Defendant refused to interview Ms. Brooks for the Project Manager position and refused to select her for the position because of her race and pursuant to its history, pattern and practice of failing to select Blacks for key positions.
- 22. Each of the positions Ms. Brooks applied for was at a higher salary grade than her then-current salary grade, and had she been selected for any of the positions, her compensation would have significantly increased.
- 23. Ms. Brooks has suffered, is now suffering and will continue to suffer emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other non-pecuniary losses as a direct and proximate result of defendant's discrimination.
- 24. Defendant discriminated against Ms. Brooks because of her race and pursuant to its history, pattern and practice of failing to select Blacks for key positions.
- 25. By reason of defendant's discrimination, Ms. Brooks suffered extreme harm, including loss of income and other employment benefits, loss of professional opportunities, embarrassment and humiliation.
- 26. Defendant acted and failed to act willfully, maliciously, intentionally and with reckless disregard for Ms. Brooks's rights.

Count I

The Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. §1981

27. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-26, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.

- 28. Ms. Brooks had a federal statutory right under the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. §1981 ("Section 1981"), as amended, to be accorded the same rights as were enjoyed by White employees with respect to the terms and conditions of their employment relationship with defendant and to the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms and conditions of that relationship.
- 29. Defendant's conduct described above deprived Ms. Brooks of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to him under Section 1981.
- 30. By reason of defendant's conduct, Ms. Brooks is entitled to all legal and equitable relief available under Section 1981.

Count II

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 31. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-30, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
- 32. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, that its conduct described above would cause, and did cause, Ms. Brooks mental distress, and was so extreme so as to exceed all bounds that are usually tolerated in a decent and civilized society.
- 33. Defendant's extreme and outrageous conduct intentionally or recklessly caused severe emotional distress to Ms. Brooks.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and conduct described above, Ms. Brooks suffered actual damages, including loss of earnings and future earning capacity, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, attorney's fees and costs.

35. The acts of defendant described above were willful, wanton, malicious, intentional, oppressive and done in willful and conscious disregard of the rights and welfare of Ms. Brooks, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages.

Jury Demand

36. Ms. Brooks hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Prayer for Relief

Wherefore, Plaintiff, Sandra Brooks, respectfully prays that the Court:

- a. adjudge, decree and declare that defendant has engaged in illegal race discrimination, and that the actions and practices of defendant complained of herein are violative of her rights under Section 1981;
- b. order defendant to provide appropriate job relief to Ms. Brooks, including immediate promotion;
- c. enter judgment in favor of Ms. Brooks and against defendant for all available remedies and damages under law and equity, including, but not limited to, back pay, front pay, reinstatement, past and future mental anguish and pain and suffering, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- d. order defendant to pay the attorney's fees, costs, expenses and expert witness fees of Ms. Brooks associated with this case;
- e. grant such other and further legal and equitable relief as may be found appropriate and as the Court may deem just or equitable; and
- f. retain jurisdiction until such time as the Court is satisfied that defendant has remedied the unlawful and illegal practices complained of herein and is determined to be in full

compliance with the law.

Robert T Vance Jr

Law Offices of Robert T Vance Jr 100 South Broad Street - Suite 905

Philadelphia PA 19110

215 557 9550 tel / 215 278 7992 fax

rvance@vancelf.com

Attorney for Sandra Brooks